Hunting in northern Europe until 1500 AD

Old traditions and regional developments, continental sources and continental influences
The 7th century's royal follower's grave at mid-east Swedish Rickeby (Uppland) – the deceased one with his horse, several dogs, several raptor birds, several birds which represent the typical prey of falconry plus food gifts (drawing Ulla Malmsten).
SCHRIFten des archäologischen landesmuseums

ergänzungsreihe

band 7

Herausgegeben vom Archäologischen Landesmuseum und
dem Zentrum für Baltische und skandinavische Archäologie
in der Stiftung Schleswig-Holsteinische Landesmuseen
Schloss Gottorf

durch Claus von Carnap-Bornheim
Hunting in northern Europe until 1500 AD

Old traditions and regional developments, continental sources and continental influences

Edited by
Oliver Grimm und Ulrich Schmölcke

Papers presented at a workshop organized by the Centre for Baltic and Scandinavian Archaeology (ZBSA)

Schleswig, June 16th and 17th, 2011

Wachholtz

ISBN 978 3 529 01877 0

Redaktion: Isabel Sonnenschein
Satz und Bildbearbeitung: Jürgen Schüller, Matthias Bolte


Alle Rechte, auch die des auszugsweisen Nachdrucks, insbesondere für Vervielfältigungen, der Einspeisung und Verarbeitung in elektronischen Systemen sowie der photomechanischen Wiedergabe und Übersetzung vorbehalten

www.wachholtz-verlag.de
Wachholtz Verlag, Neumünster 2013
Table of Contents

Foreword ................................................................. 11

Introduction
Oliver Grimm and Ulrich Schmölecke
The hunting workshop and its publication ........................................... 13

The transition from the last hunters to the first farmers
Sönke Hartz and Ulrich Schmölecke
From the Mesolithic to the Neolithic – Hunting strategies
in the south-western Baltic Sea area .................................................. 21

Hunting in the long run: some chosen aspects (Stone Age to medieval times)
Sveinung Bang-Andersen
Prehistoric reindeer hunting in south-west Norway with emphasis on the
period 1000 BC to AD 1000 – Overview, retrospect and perspectives ............ 41
Svein Indrelid
‘Industrial’ reindeer hunting in the south Norwegian mountains
in the Viking Age and Early Middle Ages ........................................... 55
Mara-Julia Weber
Late Upper and Late Palaeolithic reindeer hunting in the Ahrensburg tunnel valley –
Differences between Hamburgian and Ahrensburgian hunting tactics ........... 75

Ulrich Schmölecke
A short history of seals and seal populations in northern European waters .......... 91
Aikaterini Glykou
Seal hunting at the Baltic Sea coast – A case study from the Late Mesolithic
and earliest Neolithic Neustadt in Holstein, Germany ............................ 101
Ulf Ickelerd
Barbed points through time – A hunting weapon between
ethnoarchaeological argumentation and functional analysis ........................ 113

Peter Vang Petersen
Mesolithic Dogs ........................................................................... 147
Bodil Holm Sørensen
Dogs in the Danish Viking Age – The Ladby Ship and other finds ............... 163
Ulrich Schmölecke
The evidence for hunting dogs from Mesolithic times up to the Viking Age
from a zoological point of view – A survey ......................................... 175

Harm Paulsen
From Stone Age hunting bow to medieval weapon of war –
Selected examples of bows and arrows in the North .................................. 185
Late Bronze Age and Hallstatt/Early La Tène hunting
Maria Vretemark
Late Bronze Age hunting in Middle Sweden – Evidence from “King Björrn’s mound” in Håga and the surrounding settlements ................................. 207

Peter Trebsche
Hunting in the Hallstatt and Early La Tène Cultures: the economic and social importance ... 215

Leif Hansen
Hunting in the Hallstatt period – The example of the Eberdingen-Hochdorf “princely grave”................................. 239

Roman and late ancient Germanic hunting
Thomas Fischer
Hunting in the Roman period .................................................. 259

Christoph Reichmann
Late ancient Germanic hunting in Gaul based on selected archaeological examples ............ 267

Bear hunting in the 1st millennium AD
Oliver Grimm
Bear-skins in northern European burials and some remarks on other bear-related furnishings in the north and middle of Europe in the 1st millennium AD ....................... 277

Sigmund Oehrl
Svá bætum vér björnuna á mörkinni norðr – Bear hunting and its ideological context (as a background for the interpretation of bear claws and other remains of bears in Germanic graves of the 1st millennium AD) .................................................. 297

Hunting in the long run: noble and royal hunt (AD 350–1500)
Oliver Grimm
Wiesbaden-Breckenheim, Tissø and beyond – Some methodological remarks on bones of wild animals from continental and southern Scandinavian centres of power in parts of the 1st millennium AD ........................................................................ 333

Claus Dobiat
Early falconry in central Europe on the basis of grave finds, with a discussion of the origin of falconry ............................................................... 343

Wietske Prummel
Falconry in continental settlements as reflected by animal bones from the 6th to 12th centuries AD ................................................................. 357

Maria Vretemark
The Vendel Period royal follower’s grave at Swedish Rickeby as starting point for reflections about falconry in Northern Europe ............................................. 379

Martina Giese
Continental royal seats, royal hunting lodges and deer parks seen in the mirror of medieval written sources .................................................. 387
Lydia Carstens
   On the hunt in Old Norse sources ........................................ 397

Christian Radtke
   Lordship and hunting in Schleswig – A sketch .......................... 419

Asa Ahlland
   Venison – High status hunting and parks in medieval Sweden .......... 439

Frode Iversen
   The name of the game! The changing role of hunting on royal land in Norway during the Middle Ages ..................... 465

Hunting in laws, depictions and place names

Martina Giese
   Legal regulations on hunting in the barbarian law codes of the Early Middle Ages .................................. 485

Sigmund Oehrl
   Hunting in the West Norwegian Gulathing Law (Gulathingbol/Gulathingbok) ........................................ 505

Sigmund Oehrl
   Can pictures lie? Hunting the red deer with raptors –
   According to visual representations from the Viking Age ................ 515

Vera Henkelmann
   A hunting scene on a late medieval linen embroidery from a convent
   in Preetz (northern Germany) – An art-historical perspective .......... 531

Juergen Udolph
   Hunting in continental place and field names .......................... 543

Inge Sørheim
   Toponyms from south-western Norway referring to hunting and fishing .................................................. 551

Further perspectives

Algirdas Girininkas and Linas Daugnora
   Hunting in the territory of Lithuania from the Late Palaeolithic to the Middle Ages .................. 567

Elena A. Nikulina and John Meadows
   Ancient DNA and stable isotope analysis – Two innovative
   scientific methods in the archaeology of hunting .................... 597

Summary and outlook

Oliver Grimm and Ulrich Schmölcke
   Results and future perspectives in relation to an
   overall concept of hunting-related research .......................... 605
Continental royal seats, royal hunting lodges and deer parks seen in the mirror of medieval written sources

By Martina Giese, Tübingen

Keywords: Royal seats (Königspfalzen), places of power, deer parks, hunting kings, Aachen, Bodfeld, Kaiserslautern

Abstract: A striking feature of East Frankish-German monarchical rule in the Middle Ages was being on the road. The court travelled from place to place, and the king ruled "from the saddle". The written sources providing evidence of three of these stopping places between the 8th and 12th centuries and documenting the great importance of hunting for the kingdom are introduced below. The places are Aachen in Carolingian times, Bodfeld (near Quedlinburg, Sachsen-Anhalt) in Ottonian and Salian times, as well as Kaiserslautern as the residence of the Hohenstaufen dynasty. Royal seats served as starting points for hunting in the surrounding area and also to some extent had game parks right next to them. These served prestigious purposes and thereby at the same time emphasized the character of the sites as places of power. On the basis of the three examples, central questions, methods and results of so-called Pfalzenforschung will be presented.

"Itinerant kingship is specific to the East Frankish-German kingdom. This form of rulership without a capital meant that the king continuously traveled from place to place." (EHlers 2002a, abstract p. VII). The changing locations of ambulatory medieval kingdoms are well documented in charters that were drawn up by their rulers and which are preserved in large quantities. However, although these documents provide a precise place and date of their issue, there is often no information as to why the person stayed at the site in question. These coordinates of space and time provide a basis from which we can find a proper context for the historiographical notes in which there is a lack of information about location and time. A systematic analysis of these sources, as practised in historical science since the 19th century, permits the reconstruction of the routing (itinerary) of the kings and their courts and the identification of the whereabouts and the duration of sojourns (cf. Deutsche Königspfalzen 1–8). Beyond the gathering of these basic facts, modern historical science has occupied itself more intensively with the qualitative exploitation and evaluation of the royal seats as places of power. The main result is the Repertorium der Pfalzen, Königshöfe und übrigen Aufenthaltsorte der Könige im deutschen Reich des Mittelalters ("The German royal palaces: survey of the Pfalzen, royal courts and other places of residence of the kings in the medieval German Reich") that has been published since 1983 and is still ongoing (Die deutschen Königspfalzen 2–4). As the title

indicates, the only locations taken into account were those which yielded material evidence of royal estates, whereas cases in which the king found a place to stay as a guest of others were excluded. The royal seats are termed “Königspfalz” ('royal palace') in the research (derived from Latin *palatium regis or regale palatum*), even though this terminology was not regularly used in the sources. In fact, there is little information about the topography, the appearance and appointments of individual royal seats in written sources. Therefore, *Pfaltenforschung* is a paradigmatic example of the necessity and efficiency of interdisciplinary cooperation. In the following, the written sources about hunting lodges and deer parks will be introduced for three royal palaces: 1. the Carolingian Aachen, 2. the Ottonic and Salic hunting lodge at Bodfeld in the Harz Mountains and 3. the Hohenstaufen royal seat in Kaiserslautern (Fig. 1).

**AACHEN**

Charlemagne had built a palace in Aachen that closely followed the example of Rome (Fig. 2). The intention was to create architectonic echoes of the Roman Empire by bringing building materials and the statue of a rider to Aachen, and modern research has shown that the construction of the main church in Aachen might have had late classical precursors. Before this, Aachen had seen settlements since Roman times. The building activities of Charlemagne are comparatively well known from written sources, and the newly erected royal palace was fundamentally different from the other Frankish ones. From 794/95 Charlemagne regularly stayed with his court in Aachen during winter time, and he died there on January 28th, 814. The royal palace (*palatium publicum*) was mentioned in a document for the first time in 769. Besides the buildings of the palace, i.e. a monumental royal hall (*regia or aula*) and a church (Church of Our Lady, *basilica or ecclesia*), there was a fortified settlement (*villa*). The central written source about the Palace of Aachen in Carolingian times is an anonymous epic written shortly after the coronation of Charlemagne as emperor on Christmas Eve in the year 800 (Fig. 3; *De Karolo rege et Leone papa*; cf. on the whole *Kaiserkrönung 2002*). This poem deals mainly with the meeting of Pope Leo III and Charlemagne at Paderborn in Westphalia in the year

---

2 Heinrich Begier’s (1905) dissertation from the early period of *Pfaltenforschung* has now been overtaken. – Cf. in general Hauck 1963; Rosner 2005; Liddard 2007; Miles 2009; in future: Giese in prep.
3 Cf. from the wealth of literature on the palace in Aachen, the more recent contributions by Nelson 2001; Falkenstein 2002; Sot 2009; Campbell 2010, 259–262; Ischacher 2010, 76–82. The draft appears in a document of Charlemagne from 13th Jan. 769 (D Kar. 1 55). Cf. *Urkunden der Karolinger* 1, 81–82, here 82 l. 8.
799 and is therefore named De Karolo rege et Leone papa, a Latin title which is, however, not found in the only surviving manuscript of the poem. In it, not only are the building activities of Charlemagne as a ruler praised, but there is also a description of hunting in the deer park at Aachen, where the hunt took a whole day and the entire royal family and the royal court participated. That there was a deer park at Aachen and that it was used by Carolingian kings for hunting is described in two more contemporary poems. According to one of them, the deer park with game birds and deer was located "close to the royal hall", whereas the other states that one could see the park from the Church

---

of Our Lady (ERMOLDUS NIGELLUS, I. III, v. 1836, p. 140; HERREN 1991, v. 116–127, p. 126, the transl. p. 135). It can be concluded from a document of Henry II’s from 1018 that the park lay south-east of the palatium (D H. II. 392, URRKUNDEN HEINRICH II, p. 504–505; cf. on localisation: FALKENSTEIN 2002, 161). In all three poems, the park is characterized as an idyllic natural setting, with a river flowing through it and surrounded by walls. The regulations about the royal estates of Charlemagne, the so-called Capitulare de villis, relate to the control over and the maintenance of deer parks, called brogillus (“Brühl”) by the common people, at some royal seats (luci nostri, quos vulgus brogillos vocat). Such parks were meant to belong to the usual appointments of a royal seat. There is no doubt that an interest in hunting went hand in hand with economic considerations. An exotic element can be seen in the case of the elephant that came to Aachen as a diplomatic gift in 802 after a long trip from Baghdad.

6 Capitulare de villis, c. 46, p. 60 l. 26–28 Ut lucos nostros, quos vulgus brogilos vocat, bene custodire faciant et ad tempus semper emendent et nullatempus expectent, ut necessis sit a novo resedire. Cf. on the whole CAMPBELL 2010. – For the term cf. the lemma ‘brogillus’, which was not registered as used in classical antiquity, in the MITTELALTERLICHES WÖRTERBUCH 1, col. 1583. For ‘lucus’ and ‘brogillus’ in the sovereign documents cf. briefly JEUSSLER 2008, 17, without retrospective dependence on research. See UDOLPH in this vol.
and, remarkably, survived until 810 in the inhospitable climate of the Frankish Empire. The elephant points towards the later manorial menageries at residences, which are often, in their appearance and terminology, difficult to separate from deer parks for domestic animals (cf. fundamentally Hauck 1963; with further lit. by Giese 2008; Pastoureaux 2008).

**BODFELD**

Bodfeld (close to Quedlinburg, Sachsen-Anhalt) is situated in the Harz Mountains and therefore, for the Ottonian kingdom, in a central region, which is termed sacred country because of its close network of religious communities (cf. Schule 2001). As a wooded mid-mountain region and because of mining (silver and iron ore), as well as iron smelting, the Harz Mountains possessed great economic relevance (cf. for examples Klapp capsules 2008). Bodfeld has been known as an abode of the royal court since King Henry I (919–936). The central importance of this royal site was hunting-related inasmuch as it served as a starting point for hunting, and therefore Bodfeld is called a "Jagdpfalz" ("royal hunting seat") in the scientific research. In historiography, Bodfeld occurs twice in connection with royal hunting. In 936, Henry I died after he had been hunting there in the usual manner (solo modo) in the autumn of the year before. In 1056, King Henry III died at Bodfeld, after having hunted there for a while. A charter of 936 from King Otto I (936–973), the son of Henry I, which relates to the convent in Quedlinburg, states that animals were also hunted in the absence of the king, since a tenth of the hunting bag was donated (decima pars ex omni venatione) (D.O.I. 1, Urkunden Konrad I, p. 89–90, esp. 89 l. 44–45). This presupposes the presence of hunting personnel on location. King Henry II (1002–1024) donated the manor (curtis) of the royal seat in Bodfeld with its income from property plus the forestry and shooting rights to the convent in Gandersheim, but the donation did not relate to the royal hunting seat as such. Bodfeld was used as a royal hunting seat until the 11th century. Altogether 17 sojourns between 944 and 1068 are documented. Even though there are no further immediate sources about royal hunting at Bodfeld, except for those just mentioned, it is striking that the kings preferred to use Bodfeld in the autumn. This is an indication of hunting in a particular season and, as a result, allows conclusions about the types of game primarily hunted, since in the Carolingian

---

7 Cf. nowadays Hack 2011. In addition, one can refer to a contemporary illustration which presumably took Charlemagne's elephant called "Abul Abaz" as its model in a manuscript dating from the beginning of the 9th cent: Paris, Bibl. Nat., ms lat. 2195, fol. 9v.

8 For the legendary association between royal hunting activity in the Harz Mountains and mining there cf. Giese 2009, 547–548.

9 The exact location of the hunting seat at Bodfeld remains disputed to this day. Cf. for more on this Wille 2010. – On Pfalz Bodfeld cf. Erdmann 1940.

10 Regesta Imperii II, 1, n. 51a. The key source for the hunting context is the Vita Mathildis antiquior, c. 4, p. 120 l. 14–p. 121 l. 2 His ergo sermonibus ita dispositis rex solito more venandi Borfelden adit ibique gravi pestis occupatus est venatione; subsequently embellished by the Vita Mathildis posterior, c. 7, p. 158 l. 14–20.

11 Lampert von Hersfeld, ad a. 1056, p. 69 Inde (sc. from Goslar, where Henry III had spent the 8th September with Pope Victor II) projectus Botfelden, cum ibi aliquam diea venationi deditus moraretur [...] Nec multo post ipsis corporis molestia corrupsis, cum VII ant eo amplius diebus lecto decubasset, diem clausit extremum. Cf. from a historical perspective of hunting Roterberg 1005, 35 and 87 (repeated almost word for word by Rosener 2004, 105–106); Lindner 1942, 404, both maintain, without any supporting primary source, that the ruler had become ill "da er sich auf der Jagd erhitzt und zu viel gebratene Hirschleber gegessen hatte" ("because he had become hot while hunting and had eaten too much fried deer liver"). – About the presence of the Pope in Bodfeld cf. Regesta Imperii III, 5, n. 1263–1267.


13 Cf. the list in Erdmann 1940, 81, of the 17 sojourns, there are "zwölf in der Zeit von Ende August bis Anfang Oktober, die wir als die Hauptjagdzeit anzusprechen haben" ("twelve in the period from the end of August to the beginning of October, which we have to describe as the main hunting season").
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era autumn was traditionally the season for deer hunting. Since Bodfeld was merely a royal hunting seat and no important assemblies or important ecclesiastical celebrations were held, one cannot presume the presence of stone buildings or a church. In the written sources, there are no references to the buildings or the royal seat as such. The number of persons of the royal court who were at the location temporarily is difficult to tell, due to the absence of written sources. The gathering of “innumerable worldly and ecclesiastical dignitaries” (innumerabiles tam laici quam ecclesiastici ordinis dignitatus) when King Henry III felt that death was near is hardly representative, and no number of persons is given (LAMPERT VON HERSFELD, ad a. 1056, p. 69).

Kaiserslautern

The information about the royal castle in Lautern (today Kaiserslautern, Rheinland-Pfalz) is far more detailed but is lesser in quantity, owing to the description of King Frederick Barbarossa (d. 1190) recorded by his biographer Rahewin of Freising in 1160 at the latest: “At Kaiserslautern he [sc. Barbarossa] built a royal palace of red stone on a lavish scale. For on the one side he surrounded it with a strong wall. The other side was washed by a fish pond, similar to a lake, supporting all kinds of fish and game birds, to feast the eye as well as the taste. It also has adjacent to it a park (ortus = hortus) that affords pasture to a large herd of deer and roe deer. The royal splendour of all these things and their abundance (which precludes enumeration) are well worth the spectators’ effort.” According to this revealing description, which owes much to the literary topos of locus amoenus (i.e. lovely idyllic scenery), the neatly constructed royal seat consisted of at least one stone building, and there was a fish pond and a park with deer surrounded by walls. The animals were a food source and they also seemed to have served for entertainment purposes, but, notably, there is no reference to hunting. The representational importance of the entire site, which was not least meant to impress visitors, is emphasized and considered the visible mirror image of the emperor’s dignity. The aim of the biographer’s writing was to praise Barbarossa and the quoted passage was written for the same purpose. In medieval times, royal seats often served to represent the majesty of the king through a display of material wealth (architecture, deer parks, the keeping of exotic animals etc.) and by ritual acts (hunting) (cf. HAUCK 1963, passim; for examples EHlers 2009).

The three examples presented date from different periods. They emphasize how variable and altogether narrow the basis of our knowledge is when it comes to statements in written sources. A feature common to all three seats is that they document the great importance of hunting for the East Frankish-German kings of the Early and High Middle Ages: Bodfeld was situated in a hunting area and was chosen as a hunting seat because of this merit. In Aachen and Kaiserslautern, game parks were deliberately placed right next to the royal seats. Grounds comparable to those constructed by

---

14 The strict (legal) differentiation between hunting season and close season in the modern sense was foreign to the Early and High Middle Ages. Contemporary sources only very rarely take the seasonality of the practice of hunting as a theme. Cf. the first references about this in LINNÉR 1940, esp. 420–424; unproductive for the Middle Ages: STAHL 1967, 9–25.

---

Barbarossa in Kaiserslautern are laid out, for example, in Vincennes near Paris, and near Palermo in Sicily. The prestigious equipping of royal seats with deer parks was, in this respect, no geographically isolated phenomenon, rather a transnational feature (brought out by Hauck 1963; cf. A. Ahirland in this vol.). In a European perspective, these royal seats refer to how hunting was exploited to serve the prestige and practice of rule and thereby proved what immense status hunting must have had for medieval rulers beyond its relevance for securing subsistence. This result tallies with the discovery gained in all in all with the help of historical as well as literary sources that hunting was an integral facet of the ideal ruler and of the monarchial self-image as well during medieval times. In an age in which physical integrity was an essential condition for political leadership, which at the same time always meant military leadership, hunting was the best training for war and served the best preparation for the duties of the king (cf. S. Oehrl on bear hunting in this vol.). Therefore hunting was a pastime of highly symbolic meaning and was regarded as a cipher for the ability to rule the realm with all the necessary power. Another important point concerns royal hunting as a social collective activity with a strong hierarchy, able to form elite groups, increase social integration and balance social rivalry, while at the same time excluding other groups of the society like peasants, clerics etc. (cf. nowadays Giese 2011). So hunting together was at national level participation in domination and on international stage an element of diplomacy.

While no contemporary illustrations of the architecture of Aachen, Bodfeld und Kaiserslautern are preserved, in the so-called Codex Falkensteinensis we find an illustration of a castle in Bavaria with a fish pond similar to the one described by Rahewin of Freising. This manuscript was written in the reign of Frederick Barbarossa. It records the properties, incomes and manorial rights of the counts of Falkenstein (near Flintsbach at the Inn, Bavaria) and is the oldest extant survey of a secular German lordship. In addition, this document hints that a connection between places of power and hunting also became established below monarch level.

Sources


---


18 The manuscript (München, Bayerisches Hauptstaatsarchiv, KL Weyarn 1, fol. 11r [Herrenchiemsee, 1166]) and this edition are retrievable as digital copies from http://www.bayerische-landesbibliothek-online.de/codexfalkensteinensis [viewed 2.11.2011]. Cf. Spies 2007; Bertelsmeier-Kirst 2008, 25–31 and 182.
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